

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,669	03/29/2004	Noboru Ishibashi	118589	1139
25944	7590 03/17/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			TO, TUAN C	
	IA, VA 22320		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3663	

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/810,669	ISHIBASHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this commission of	Tuan C. To	3663				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	lears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen  1) Notice 2) Notice	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/29, 06/21/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,669 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a process, classified in class 701, subclass 32.
- II. Claims 13 and 14, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 701, subclass 200.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a product, classified in class 369, subclass 30.01.
- 2. The inventions I/III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice a process of processing an accounting of a user account specified by the user ID.
- Inventions III and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

Application/Control Number: 10/810,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a process of processing an accounting of a user account specified by the user ID.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## **Species Election:**

- 5. Upon election of invention I or II, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
  - E1. Embodiment of figures 1 and 2.
  - E2. Embodiment of figures 3-6.
  - E3. Embodiment of figure 7.
  - E4. Embodiment of figure 8.
- 6. Upon election of invention I only, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
  - A. ID created based on randomly acquired numeric value (claim 2).
  - B. ID created based on data representing a date and time (claim 3).
  - C. ID created based on data representing a present position (claim 4).
  - D. ID created based on a parameter related to the apparatus (claim 5).

Art Unit: 3663

7. Upon election of invention D, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

- Da. Parameter is registered home location (claim 6).
- Db. Parameter is a number of registered locations stored (claim 7).
- Dc. Parameter is a distance coefficient (claim 8).
- Dd. Parameter is a travel distance (claim 9).
- De. Parameter is a travel time (claim 10).
- Df. Parameter is a location of a found facility (claim 11).
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

  An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Application/Control Number: 10/810,669

Art Unit: 3663

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

#### **Conclusions**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public Application/Control Number: 10/810,669 Page 6

Art Unit: 3663

PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tc

March 11, 2006

JACK KETTI PATENT EXAMINER